arXiv:1112.5795v1 [math.DS] 25 Dec 2011

Principles of Delta and Nabla Fractional Differences

Thabet Abdeljawad [a,b]

[a] Department of Mathematics, Çankaya University, 06530, Ankara, Turkey

[b] Department of Mathematics and Physical Sciences

Prince Sultan University, P. O. Box 66833, Riyadh 11586, Saudi Arabia

Abstract. We define nabla right fractional sum and difference and obtain a nabla integration by parts formula. Some properties of nabla and delta fractional sums and differences are obtained to derive dual identities between the nabla and delta ones and other $\mathbb{Q}$-dual identities to relate left and right ones. These dual identities give the impression that the definition of the delta right fractional difference presented by Abdeljawad and Baleanu in [1] and [12] are more appropriate than those introduced by other authors. Hence, the delta integration by parts formula formulated in [12] and the nabla one presented in this article are consistent.

Keywords: right (left) delta and nabla fractional sums, right (left) delta and nabla Riemann and Caputo fractional differences. Integration by parts, Q-operator, dual identity.

1 Introduction

During the last two decades, due to its widespread applications in different fields of science and engineering, fractional calculus has attracted the attention of many researchers [9, 10, 11, 23, 24, 25].

Starting from the idea of discretizing the Cauchy integral formula, Miller and Ross [8] and Gray and Zhang [18] obtained discrete versions of left type fractional integrals and derivatives, called fractional sums and differences. Fifteen years later, several authors started to deal with discrete fractional calculus [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 14, 12, 20, 21, 22], benefiting from the theory of time scales originated by Hilger in 1988 (see [15]).

In this article, we summarize some of the results mentioned in the above references and add more in the Caputo type and right type fractional differences. Throughout the article we almost agree with the previously presented definitions except for the definition of right fractional difference. We shall figure out that these definitions seem to be more convenient than the previously presented ones by proving some dual identities. These identities fall into two kinds. The first relate nabla type fractional differences and sums to delta ones. The second kind represented by the Q-operator relate left and right fractional sums and differences. Also, in the definition of left and right fractional sums we use the ones that agree with the general theory for time scales and not as in [5] or in [18]. This setting enables us to get identities resembling better the ordinary fractional case. Along with the previously mentioned points we are able to fit a reasonable nabla integration by parts formula which remains in accordance with the one obtained in [12] but different from those obtained in [6] and [14].

The article is organized as follows: The remaining part of this section contains summary to some of the basic notations and definitions in delta and nabla calculus. Section 2 contains the definitions in the frame of delta and nabla fractional sums and differences in the Riemann sense. Moreover some essential lemmas about the commutativity of the different fractional sum operators with the usual difference operators are established. These lemmas are vital to proceed in the next sections. The third section contains some dual identities relating nabla and delta fractional sums and differences in the left and right cases. Using these dual identities, power formulae for nabla left and right fractional sums and a commutative law for nabla left and right sums are obtained. In Section 4 an integration by parts formula for nabla fractional sums and differences is obtained with an accordance to the one obtained in [12]. Section 5 is devoted to delta and nabla Caputo fractional differences and their relations with the Riemann ones. Finally, Section 6 contains Caputo type fractional dynamical equations where a nonhomogeneous nabla Caputo fractional difference equation is solved to obtain nabla discrete versions for Mittag-Leffler functions. For the case $\alpha = 1$ we obtain the discrete nabla exponential function [15]. In addition to this, the Q-operator is used to relate left and right fractional sums in the nabla and delta case. The Q-dual identities obtained in this section expose the validity of the definition of delta and nabla right fractional differences.

For a natural number n, the fractional polynomial is defined by,

$$t^{(n)} = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(t-j) = \frac{\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(t+1-n)}, \tag{1}$$

where Γ denotes the special gamma function and the product is zero when $t+1-j=0$ for some j. More generally, for arbitrary α, define

$$t^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\Gamma(t+1)}{\Gamma(t+1-\alpha)}, \tag{2}$$

where the convention that division at pole yields zero. Given that the forward and backward difference operators are defined by

$$\Delta f(t) = f(t+1) - f(t), \ \nabla f(t) = f(t) - f(t-1) \tag{3}$$

respectively, we define iteratively the operators $\Delta^m = \Delta(\Delta^{m-1})$ and $\nabla^m = \nabla(\nabla^{m-1})$, where m is a natural number.

Here are some properties of the factorial function.

Lemma 1.1. *([3]) Assume the following factorial functions are well defined.*
(i) $\Delta t^{(\alpha)} = \alpha t^{(\alpha-1)}$.
(ii) $(t-\mu)t^{(\mu)} = t^{(\mu+1)}$, *where* $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$.
(iii) $\mu^{(\mu)} = \Gamma(\mu+1)$.
(iv) If $t \leq r$, *then* $t^{(\alpha)} \leq r^{(\alpha)}$ *for any* $\alpha > r$.
(v) If $0 < \alpha < 1$, *then* $t^{(\alpha\nu)} \geq (t^{(\nu)})^{\alpha}$.
(vi) $t^{(\alpha+\beta)} = (t-\beta)^{(\alpha)}t^{(\beta)}$.

Also, for our purposes we list down the following two properties, the proofs of which are straightforward.

$$\nabla_s (s-t)^{(\alpha-1)} = (\alpha-1)(\rho(s)-t)^{(\alpha-2)}. \tag{4}$$

$$\nabla_t (\rho(s)-t)^{(\alpha-1)} = -(\alpha-1)(\rho(s)-t)^{(\alpha-2)}. \tag{5}$$

For the sake of the nabla fractional calculus we have the following definition

Definition 1.1. *([15, 16, 17, 19])*
(i) For a natural number m, *the* m *rising (ascending) factorial of* t *is defined by*

$$t^{\overline{m}} = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1}(t-k), \quad t^{\overline{0}} = 1. \tag{6}$$

(ii) For any real number the α *rising function is defined by*

$$t^{\overline{\alpha}} = \frac{\Gamma(t+\alpha)}{\Gamma(t)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} - \ \{..., -2, -1, 0\}, \quad 0^{\alpha} = 0 \tag{7}$$

Regarding the rising factorial function we observe the following:
(i)

$$\nabla(t^{\overline{\alpha}}) = \alpha t^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \tag{8}$$

(ii)

$$(t^{\overline{\alpha}}) = (t+\alpha-1)^{(\alpha)}. \tag{9}$$

(iii)

$$\Delta_t (s-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha}} = -\alpha(s-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \tag{10}$$

Notation:

(*i*) For a real $\alpha > 0$, we set $n = [\alpha] + 1$, where $[\alpha]$ is the greatest integer less than α.

(*ii*) For real numbers a and b, we denote $\mathbb{N}_a = \{a, a+1, ...\}$ and ${}_b\mathbb{N} = \{b, b-1, ...\}$.

(*iii*) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and real a, we denote

$${}_a\Delta^n f(t) \triangleq (-1)^n \Delta^n f(t).$$

(*iv*) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and real b, we denote

$$\nabla_b^n f(t) \triangleq (-1)^n \nabla^n f(t).$$

2 Definitions and essential lemmas

Definition 2.1. *Let $\sigma(t) = t+1$ and $\rho(t) = t-1$ be the forward and backward jumping operators, respectively. Then*

(i) The (delta) left fractional sum of order $\alpha > 0$ (starting from a) is defined by:

$$\Delta_a^{-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=a}^{t-\alpha} (t - \sigma(s))^{(\alpha-1)} f(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+\alpha}. \tag{11}$$

(ii) The (delta) right fractional sum of order $\alpha > 0$ (ending at b) is defined by:

$${}_b\Delta^{-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=t+\alpha}^{b} (s - \sigma(t))^{(\alpha-1)} f(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=t+\alpha}^{b} (\rho(s) - t)^{(\alpha-1)}, \quad t \in {}_{b-\alpha}\mathbb{N}. \tag{12}$$

(iii) The (nabla) left fractional sum of order $\alpha > 0$ (starting from a) is defined by:

$$\nabla_a^{-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=a+1}^{t} (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} f(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+1}. \tag{13}$$

(iv)The (nabla) right fractional sum of order $\alpha > 0$ (ending at b) is defined by:

$${}_b\nabla^{-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=t}^{b-1} (s - \rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} f(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=t}^{b-1} (\sigma(s) - t)^{\overline{\alpha-1}} f(s), \quad t \in {}_{b-1}\mathbb{N}. \tag{14}$$

Regarding the delta left fractional sum we observe the following:
(i) $\Delta_a^{-\alpha}$ maps functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ to functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_{a+\alpha}$.
(ii) $u(t) = \Delta_a^{-n} f(t)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfies the initial value problem

$$\Delta^n u(t) = f(t), \quad t \in N_a, \; u(a+j-1) = 0, \; j = 1, 2, ..., n. \tag{15}$$

(iii) The Cauchy function $\frac{(t-\sigma(s))^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!}$ vanishes at $s = t-(n-1), ..., t-1$.

Regarding the delta right fractional sum we observe the following:
(i) ${}_b\Delta^{-\alpha}$ maps functions defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$ to functions defined on ${}_{b-\alpha}\mathbb{N}$.
(ii) $u(t) = {}_b\Delta^{-n} f(t)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfies the initial value problem

$$\nabla_b^n u(t) = f(t), \quad t \in {}_bN, \; u(b-j+1) = 0, \; j = 1, 2, ..., n. \tag{16}$$

(iii) the Cauchy function $\frac{(\rho(s)-t)^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!}$ vanishes at $s = t+1, t+2, ..., t+(n-1)$.

Regarding the nabla left fractional sum we observe the following:
(i) $\nabla_a^{-\alpha}$ maps functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ to functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_{a+1}$.
(ii)$\nabla_a^{-n} f(t)$ satisfies the n-th order discrete initial value problem

$$\nabla^n y(t) = f(t), \quad \nabla^i y(a) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., n-1 \tag{17}$$

(iii) The Cauchy function $\frac{(t-\rho(s))^{\overline{n-1}}}{\Gamma(n)}$ satisfies $\nabla^n y(t) = 0$.

Regarding the nabla right fractional sum we observe the following:
(i) ${}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}$ maps functions defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$ to functions defined on ${}_{b-1}\mathbb{N}$.
(ii) ${}_b\nabla^{-n} f(t)$ satisfies the n-th order discrete initial value problem

$${}_a\Delta^n y(t) = f(t), \quad {}_a\Delta^i y(a) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., n-1. \tag{18}$$

The proof can be done inductively. Namely, assuming it is true for n, we have

$${}_a\Delta^{n+1} \, {}_b\nabla^{-(n+1)} f(t) = {}_a\Delta^n [-\Delta \, {}_b\nabla^{-(n+1)} f(t)]. \tag{19}$$

By the help of (10), it follows that

$${}_a\Delta^{n+1} \, {}_b\nabla^{-(n+1)} f(t) = {}_a\Delta^n \, {}_b\nabla^{-n} f(t) = f(t). \tag{20}$$

The other part is clear by using the convention that $\sum_{k=s}^{t} = 0, \quad s > t$.

(iii) The Cauchy function $\frac{(s-\rho(t))^{\overline{n-1}}}{\Gamma(n)}$ satisfies ${}_a\Delta^n y(t) = 0$.

Definition 2.2. *(i)[8] The (delta) left fractional sum of order $\alpha > 0$ (starting from a) is defined by:*

$$\Delta_a^{\alpha} f(t) = \Delta^n \Delta_a^{-(n-\alpha)} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=a}^{t-(n-\alpha)} (t-\sigma(s))^{(n-\alpha-1)} f(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+(n-\alpha)} \tag{21}$$

(ii) [12] The (delta) right fractional difference of order $\alpha > 0$ (ending at b) is defined by:

$${}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) = (-1)^n \nabla^n \, {}_b\Delta^{-(n-\alpha)} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=t+(n-\alpha)}^{b} (s-\sigma(t))^{(n-\alpha-1)} f(s), \quad t \in \, {}_{b-(n-\alpha)}\mathbb{N} \tag{22}$$

(iii) The (nabla) left fractional difference of order $\alpha > 0$ (starting from a) is defined by:

$$\nabla_a^{\alpha} f(t) = \nabla^n \nabla_a^{-(n-\alpha)} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=a+1}^{t} (t-\rho(s))^{\overline{n-\alpha-1}} f(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_{a+1} \tag{23}$$

(iv) The (nabla) right fractional difference of order $\alpha > 0$ (ending at b) is defined by:

$${}_b\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) = (-1)^n \Delta^n \, {}_b\nabla^{-(n-\alpha)} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=t}^{b-1} (s-\rho(t))^{\overline{n-\alpha-1}} f(s), \quad t \in \, {}_{b-1}\mathbb{N} \tag{24}$$

Regarding the domains of the fractional type differences we observe:
(i) The delta left fractional difference Δ_a^{α} maps functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ to functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_{a+(n-\alpha)}$.
(ii) The delta right fractional difference ${}_b\Delta^{\alpha}$ maps functions defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$ to functions defined on ${}_{b-(n-\alpha)}\mathbb{N}$.
(iii) The nabla left fractional difference ∇_a^{α} maps functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ to functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_{a+1-n}$.
(iv) The nabla right fractional difference ${}_b\nabla^{\alpha}$ maps functions defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$ to functions defined on ${}_{b-1+n}\mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.1. *[3] For any $\alpha > 0$, the following equality holds:*

$$\Delta_a^{-\alpha} \Delta f(t) = \Delta \Delta_a^{-\alpha} f(t) - \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{\alpha-1}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(a).$$

Lemma 2.2. *[12] For any $\alpha > 0$, the following equality holds:*

$${}_b\Delta^{-\alpha} \nabla_b f(t) = \nabla_b \, {}_b\Delta^{-\alpha} f(t) - \frac{(b-t)^{\overline{\alpha-1}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(b).$$

Lemma 2.3. *[7] For any $\alpha > 0$, the following equality holds:*

$$\nabla_{a+1}^{-\alpha} \nabla f(t) = \nabla \nabla_a^{-\alpha} - \frac{(t-a+1)^{\overline{\alpha-1}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(a) \tag{25}$$

The result of Lemma 2.3 was obtained in [7] by applying the nabla left fractional sum starting from a not from $a+1$. Next will provide the version of Lemma 2.3 by applying the definition in this article.

Lemma 2.4. *For any $\alpha > 0$, the following equality holds:*

$$\nabla_a^{-\alpha} \nabla f(t) = \nabla \nabla_a^{-\alpha} - \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{\alpha-1}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(a). \tag{26}$$

Proof. By the help of the following by parts identity

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_s[(t-s)^{\overline{\alpha-1}} f(s)] &= \nabla_s (t-s)^{\overline{\alpha-1}} f(s) + (t-\rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \nabla_s f(s) \\ &= -(\alpha-1)(t-\rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-2}} f(s) + (t-\rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \nabla_s f(s) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

we have

$$\nabla_a^{-\alpha} \nabla f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=a+1}^{t} (t-\rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \nabla_s f(s) =$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}[(t-s)^{\overline{\alpha-1}}f(s)|_a^t+(\alpha-1)\sum_{s=a+1}^{t}(t-\rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-2}}f(s)]= \tag{28}$$

$$-\frac{(t-a)^{\overline{\alpha-1}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}f(a)+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)}\sum_{s=a+1}^{t}(t-\rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-2}}f(s) \tag{29}$$

On the other hand

$$\nabla\nabla_a^{-\alpha}f(t)=$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\sum_{s=a+1}^{t}\nabla_t(t-\rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}}f(s)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)}\sum_{s=a+1}^{t}(t-\rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-2}}f(s) \tag{30}$$

□

Remark 2.1. *Let $\alpha>0$ and $n=[\alpha]+1$. Then, by the help of Lemma 2.4 we have*

$$\nabla\nabla_a^{\alpha}f(t)=\nabla\nabla^n(\nabla_a^{-(n-\alpha)}f(t))=\nabla^n(\nabla\nabla_a^{-(n-\alpha)}f(t)). \tag{31}$$

or

$$\nabla\nabla_a^{\alpha}f(t)=\nabla^n[\nabla_a^{-(n-\alpha)}\nabla f(t)+\frac{(t-a)^{\overline{n-\alpha-1}}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}f(a)] \tag{32}$$

Then, using the identity

$$\nabla^n\frac{(t-a)^{\overline{n-\alpha-1}}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}=\frac{(t-a)^{\overline{-\alpha-1}}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \tag{33}$$

we infer that (26) is valid for any real α.

By the help of Lemma 2.4, Remark 2.1 and the identity $\nabla(t-a)^{\overline{\alpha-1}}=(\alpha-1)(t-a)^{\overline{\alpha-2}}$, we arrive inductively at the following generalization.

Theorem 2.5. *For any real number α and any positive integer p, the following equality holds:*

$$\nabla_a^{-\alpha}\ \nabla^p f(t)=\nabla^p\nabla_a^{-\alpha}f(t)-\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\frac{(t-a)^{\overline{\alpha-p+k}}}{\Gamma(\alpha+k-p+1)}\nabla^k f(a). \tag{34}$$

where f is defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$.

Lemma 2.6. *For any $\alpha>0$, the following equality holds:*

$${}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}\ {}_a\Delta f(t)=\ {}_a\Delta\ {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}f(t)-\frac{(b-t)^{\overline{\alpha-1}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}f(b) \tag{35}$$

Proof. By the help of the following discrete by parts formula:

$$\Delta_s[(\rho(s)-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-1}}f(s)]=$$

$$(\alpha-1)(s-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-2}}f(s)+(s-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-1}}\Delta f(s) \tag{36}$$

we have

$${}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}\ {}_a\Delta f(t)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\sum_{s=t}^{b-1}(s-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-1}}\Delta f(s)=$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}[-\sum_{s=t}^{b-1}\Delta_s((\rho(s)-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-1}}f(s))+(\alpha-1)\sum_{s=t}^{b-1}(s-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-2}}f(s)]= \tag{37}$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)}\sum_{s=t}^{b-1}(s-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-2}}f(s)-\frac{(b-t)^{\overline{\alpha-1}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}f(b). \tag{38}$$

On the other hand,

$${}_a\Delta\ {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}f(t)=$$

$$-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\sum_{s=t}^{b-1}\Delta_t(s-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-1}}f(s)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)}\sum_{s=t}^{b-1}(s-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-2}}f(s) \tag{39}$$

where the identity

$$\Delta_t(s-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-1}}=-(\alpha-1)(s-\rho(t))^{\overline{\alpha-2}}$$

and the convention that $(0)^{\overline{\alpha-1}}=0$ are used. □

Remark 2.2. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $n = [\alpha] + 1$. Then, by the help of Lemma 2.6 we can have*

$$ {}_a\Delta\ {}_b\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) = {}_a\Delta\ {}_a\Delta^{n}({}_b\nabla^{-(n-\alpha)} f(t)) = {}_a\Delta^{n}({}_a\Delta\ {}_b\nabla^{-(n-\alpha)} f(t)) \tag{40} $$

or

$$ {}_a\Delta\ {}_b\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) = {}_a\Delta^{n}[\ {}_b\nabla^{-(n-\alpha)}\ {}_a\Delta f(t) + \frac{(b-t)^{\overline{n-\alpha-1}}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} f(b)] \tag{41} $$

Then, using the identity

$$ {}_a\Delta^{n} \frac{(b-t)^{\overline{n-\alpha-1}}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} = \frac{(b-t)^{\overline{-\alpha-1}}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \tag{42} $$

we infer that (35) is valid for any real α.

By the help of Lemma 2.6, Remark 2.2 and the identity $\Delta(b-t)^{\overline{\alpha-1}} = -(\alpha-1)(b-t)^{\overline{\alpha-2}}$, if we follow inductively we arrive at the following generalization

Theorem 2.7. *For any real number α and any positive integer p, the following equality holds:*

$$ {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}\ {}_a\Delta^{p} f(t) = {}_a\Delta^{p}\ {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha} f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(b-t)^{\overline{\alpha-p+k}}}{\Gamma(\alpha+k-p+1)}\ {}_a\Delta^{k} f(b) \tag{43} $$

where f is defined on ${}_bN$ and we remind that ${}_a\Delta^{k} f(t) = (-1)^{k}\Delta^{k} f(t)$.

3 Dual identities for right fractional sums and differences

The dual relations for left fractional sums and differences were investigated in [5]. Indeed, the following two lemmas are dual relations between the delta left fractional sums (differences) and the nabla left fractional sums (differences).

Lemma 3.1. *[5] Let $0 \le n-1 < \alpha \le n$ and let $y(t)$ be defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$. Then the following statements are valid.*
(i)$(\Delta_a^{\alpha}) y(t-\alpha) = \nabla_a^{\alpha} y(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}_{n+a}$.
(ii) $(\Delta_a^{-\alpha}) y(t+\alpha) = \nabla_a^{-\alpha} y(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}_a$.

Lemma 3.2. *[5] Let $0 \le n-1 < \alpha \le n$ and let $y(t)$ be defined on $\mathbb{N}_{\alpha-n}$. Then the following statements are valid.*
(i)$\Delta_{\alpha-n}^{\alpha} y(t) = (\nabla_{\alpha-n}^{\alpha} y)(t+\alpha)$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}_{-n}$.
(ii) $\Delta_{\alpha-n}^{-(n-\alpha)} y(t) = (\nabla_{\alpha-n}^{-(n-\alpha)} y)(t-n+\alpha)$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

We remind that the above two dual lemmas for left fractional sums and differences were obtained when the nabla left fractional sum was defined by

$$ \nabla_a^{-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=a}^{t} (t-\rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} f(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_a \tag{44} $$

Now, in analogous to Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, for the right fractional summations and differences we obtain

Lemma 3.3. *Let $y(t)$ be defined on ${}_{b+1}\mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are valid.*
(i)$({}_b\Delta^{\alpha}) y(t+\alpha) = {}_{b+1}\nabla^{\alpha} y(t)$ for $t \in {}_{b-n}\mathbb{N}$.
(ii) $({}_b\Delta^{-\alpha}) y(t-\alpha) = {}_{b+1}\nabla^{-\alpha} y(t)$ for $t \in {}_b\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We prove only (i). The proof of (ii) is similar and easier.

$$ ({}_b\Delta^{\alpha}) y(t+\alpha) = (-1)^{n}\nabla^{n}\ {}_b\Delta^{-(n-\alpha)} y(t+\alpha) = $$

$$ \frac{(-1)^{n}\nabla^{n}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=t+n}^{b} (s-t-1-\alpha)^{(n-\alpha-1)} y(s) = \frac{(-1)^{n}\Delta^{n}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=t}^{b} (s-t-1-+n-\alpha)^{(n-\alpha-1)} y(s) \tag{45} $$

Using the identity $t^{\overline{\alpha}} = (t+\alpha-1)^{(\alpha)}$, we arrive at

$$({}_b\Delta^{\alpha})y(t+\alpha) = \frac{(-1)^n\Delta^n}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\sum_{s=t}^{b}(s-\rho(t))^{\overline{n-\alpha-1}}y(s) =$$

$$(-1)^n\Delta^n\ {}_{b+1}\nabla^{-(n-\alpha)}y(t) = {}_{b+1}\nabla^{\alpha}y(t). \tag{46}$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *Let $0 \leq n-1 < \alpha \leq n$ and let $y(t)$ be defined on ${}_{n-\alpha}\mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are valid.*

(i)

$${}_{n-\alpha}\Delta^{\alpha}y(t) = {}_{n-\alpha+1}\nabla^{\alpha}y(t-\alpha), \quad t \in {}_n\mathbb{N}$$

(ii)

$${}_{n-\alpha}\Delta^{-(n-\alpha)}y(t) = {}_{n-\alpha+1}\nabla^{-(n-\alpha)}y(t+n-\alpha), \quad t \in {}_0\mathbb{N}$$

Proof. We prove (i), the proof of (ii) is similar. By the definition of right nabla difference we have

$${}_{n-\alpha+1}\nabla^{\alpha}y(t-\alpha) = {}_a\Delta^n\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\sum_{s=t-\alpha}^{n-\alpha}(s-\rho(t-\alpha))^{\overline{n-\alpha-1}}y(s) =$$

$${}_a\Delta^n\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\sum_{s=t-\alpha}^{n-\alpha}(s-\rho(t-\alpha))^{\overline{n-\alpha-1}}y(s) = \nabla_b^n\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\sum_{s=t+n-\alpha}^{n-\alpha}(s-\rho(t+n-\alpha))^{\overline{n-\alpha-1}}y(s) \tag{47}$$

By using (9) it follows that

$${}_{n-\alpha+1}\nabla^{\alpha}y(t-\alpha) = \nabla_b^n\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\sum_{s=t+n-\alpha}^{n-\alpha}(s-\sigma(t))^{(n-\alpha-1)}y(s) = {}_{n-\alpha}\Delta^{\alpha}y(t) \tag{48}$$

□

Note that the above two dual lemmas for right fractional differences can not be obtained if we apply the definition of the delta right fractional difference introduced in [14] and [6].

Lemma 3.5. *[12] Let $\alpha > 0,\ \mu > 0$. Then,*

$${}_{b-\mu}\Delta^{-\alpha}(b-t)^{(\mu)} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+\alpha+1)}(b-t)^{(\mu+\alpha)} \tag{49}$$

The following commutative property for delta right fractional sums is Theorem 9 in [12].

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\alpha > 0,\ \mu > 0$. Then, for all t such that $t \equiv b-(\mu+\alpha)\ (mod\ 1)$, we have*

$${}_b\Delta^{-\alpha}[\ {}_b\Delta^{-\mu}f(t)] = {}_b\Delta^{-(\mu+\alpha)}f(t) = {}_b\Delta^{-\mu}[\ {}_b\Delta^{-\alpha}f(t)] \tag{50}$$

where f is defined on ${}_bN$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let f be a real valued function defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$, and let $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then*

$${}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}[\ {}_b\nabla^{-\beta}f(t)] = {}_b\nabla^{-(\alpha+\beta)}f(t) = {}_b\nabla^{-\beta}[\ {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}f(t)] \tag{51}$$

Proof. The proof follows by applying Lemma 3.3(ii) and Theorem 3.6 above. Indeed,

$${}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}[\ {}_b\nabla^{-\beta}f(t)] = {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}\ {}_{b-1}\Delta^{-\beta}f(t-\beta) =$$

$${}_{b-1}\Delta^{-\alpha}\ {}_{b-1}\Delta^{-\beta}f(t-(\alpha+\beta)) = {}_{b-1}\Delta^{-(\alpha+\beta)}f(t-(\alpha+\mu)) = {}_b\nabla^{-(\alpha+\beta)}y(t) \tag{52}$$

□

The following power rule for nabla right fractional differences plays an important rule.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\alpha, \mu > 0$. Then, for $t \in {}_b\mathbb{N}$, we have*

$${}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}(b-t)^{\overline{\mu}} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\mu+1)}(b-t)^{\overline{\alpha+\mu}} \tag{53}$$

Proof. By the dual formula (ii) of Lemma 3.3, we have

$$ {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}(b-t)^{\overline{\mu}} = {}_{b-1}\Delta^{-\alpha}(b-r)^{\overline{\mu}}|_{r=t-\alpha} = $$

$$ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\sum_{s=t}^{b-1}(s-t+\alpha-1)^{(\alpha-1)}(b-s)^{\overline{\mu}}. \tag{54} $$

Then by the identity $t^{\overline{\alpha}} = (t+\alpha-1)^{(\alpha-1)}$ and using the change of variable $r = s-\mu+1$, it follows that

$$ {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}(b-t)^{\overline{\mu}} = $$

$$ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\sum_{r=t-\mu+1}^{b-\mu}(r-\sigma(t-\alpha-\mu+1))^{(\alpha-1)}(b-r)^{\overline{\mu}} = ({}_{b-\mu}\Delta^{-\alpha}(b-u)^{\overline{\mu}})|_{u=-\alpha-\mu+1+t}. \tag{55} $$

Which by Lemma 3.5 leads to

$$ {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}(b-t)^{\overline{\mu}} = $$

$$ \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\mu+1)}(b-t+\alpha+\mu-1)^{(\alpha+\mu)} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\mu+1)}(b-t)^{\overline{\alpha+\mu}} \tag{56} $$

□

Similarly, for the nabla left fractional sum we can have the following power formula and exponent law

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\alpha, \mu > 0$. Then, for $t \in \mathbb{N}_a$, we have*

$$ \nabla_a^{-\alpha}(t-a)^{\overline{\mu}} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\mu+1)}(t-a)^{\overline{\alpha+\mu}} \tag{57} $$

Proposition 3.10. *Let f be a real valued function defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$, and let $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then*

$$ \nabla_a^{-\alpha}[\nabla_a^{-\beta}f(t)] = \nabla_a^{-(\alpha+\beta)}f(t) = \nabla_a^{-\beta}[\nabla_a^{-\alpha}f(t)] \tag{58} $$

Proof. The proof can be achieved as in Theorem 2.1 [5], by expressing the left hand side of (58), interchanging the order of summation and using the power formula (57). Alternatively, the proof can be done by following as in the proof of Proposition 3.7 with the help of the dual formula for left fractional sum in Lemma 3.1 after its arrangement according to our definitions. □

4 Integration by parts for fractional sums and differences

We first state the integration by parts for delta fractional sums and differences.

Proposition 4.1. *[12] Let $\alpha > 0$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a < b$ and $b \equiv a+\alpha$ (mod 1). If f is defined on N_a and g is defined on ${}_bN$, then we have*

$$ \sum_{s=a+\alpha}^{b}(\Delta_a^{-\alpha}f)(s)g(s) = \sum_{s=a}^{b-\alpha}f(s)\ {}_b\Delta^{-\alpha}g(s). \tag{59} $$

Proposition 4.2. *[12] Let $\alpha > 0$ be non-integer and assume that $b \equiv a+(n-\alpha)$ (mod 1). If f is defined on ${}_bN$ and g is defined on N_a, then*

$$ \sum_{s=a+(n-\alpha)-1}^{b-n+1}f(s)\Delta_a^{\alpha}g(s) = \sum_{s=a+n-1}^{b-(n-\alpha)+1}g(s)\ {}_b\nabla^{\alpha}f(s). \tag{60} $$

Proposition 4.3. *For $\alpha > 0$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, f defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ and g defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$ \sum_{s=a+1}^{b-1}g(s)\nabla_a^{-\alpha}f(s) = \sum_{s=a+1}^{b-1}f(s)\ {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}g(s). \tag{61} $$

Proof. By the definition of the nabla left fractional sum we have

$$\sum_{s=a+1}^{b-1} g(s)\nabla_a^{-\alpha} f(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=a+1}^{b-1} g(s) \sum_{r=a+1}^{s} (s-\rho(r))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} f(r). \tag{62}$$

If we interchange the order of summation we reach at (61).

□

By the help of Theorem 2.5, Proposition 3.10, (17) and that $\nabla_a^{-(n-\alpha)} f(a) = 0$, we can, for the nabla left sums and differences, obtain

Proposition 4.4. *For $\alpha > 0$, and f defined in a suitable domain $\mathbb{N}_a$, we have*

$$\nabla_a^{\alpha} \nabla_a^{-\alpha} f(t) = f(t), \tag{63}$$

$$\nabla_a^{-\alpha} \nabla_a^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t), \quad \textit{when } \alpha \notin \mathbb{N}, \tag{64}$$

and

$$\nabla_a^{-\alpha} \nabla_a^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{k}}}{k!} \nabla^k f(a), \textit{ when } \alpha = n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{65}$$

We recall that (64) is valid in the usual fractional case for sufficiently good functions such as continuous functions. As a result of this it was possible to obtain an integration by parts in the Riemann fractional derivative case for certain class of functions (see [11] page 76, and for more details see [10]). Since discrete functions are continuous we see that the term $\nabla_a^{-(1-\alpha)} f(t)|_{t=a}$, for $0 < \alpha < 1$ disappears in (64), with the application of the convention that $\sum_{s=a+1}^{a} f(s) = 0$. However, in the Caputo case the initial type conditions starting from a appear as we shall see in the next sections. By this connection, we would like to ask the reader to compare with [7], where the term $\nabla_a^{-(1-\alpha)} f(t)|_{t=a} = f(a)$ appears and hence it was possible to employ the Riemann type initial value fractional difference equation to obtain a discrete fractional version of Gronwall's inequality. However, we remind that the authors there obey [18] in defining the nabla left fractional sum. In our case the Caputo fractional difference will be the more suitable tool to obtain such fractional version of Gronwall's inequality.

By the help of Theorem 2.7, Proposition 3.7, (18) and that ${}_b\nabla^{-(n-\alpha)} f(b) = 0$, we can, for the nabla right sums and differences, obtain

Proposition 4.5. *For $\alpha > 0$, and f defined in a suitable domain ${}_b\mathbb{N}$, we have*

$${}_b\nabla^{\alpha}\ {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha} f(t) = f(t), \tag{66}$$

$${}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}\ {}_b\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t), \quad \textit{when } \alpha \notin \mathbb{N}, \tag{67}$$

and

$${}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}\ {}_b\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(b-t)^{\overline{k}}}{k!}\ {}_a\Delta^k f(b), \textit{when } \alpha = n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{68}$$

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\alpha > 0$ be non-integer. If f is defined on ${}_bN$ and g is defined on N_a, then*

$$\sum_{s=a+1}^{b-1} f(s)\nabla_a^{\alpha} g(s) = \sum_{s=a+1}^{b-1} g(s)\ {}_b\nabla^{\alpha} f(s). \tag{69}$$

Proof. By the help of equation (67) of Proposition 4.5 we can write

$$\sum_{s=a+1}^{b-1} f(s)\Delta^{\alpha} g(s) = \sum_{s=a+1}^{b-1} {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}({}_b\nabla^{\alpha} f(s))\nabla_a^{\alpha} g(s) \tag{70}$$

and by Proposition 4.1 we have

$$\sum_{s=a+1}^{b-1} f(s)\Delta^{\alpha} g(s) = \sum_{s=a+1}^{b-1} {}_b\nabla^{\alpha} f(s)\nabla_a^{-\alpha}\nabla_a^{\alpha} g(s). \tag{71}$$

Then the result follows by equation (64) of Proposition 4.4. □

5 Caputo fractional differences

In analogous to the usual fractional calculus we can formulate the following definition

Definition 5.1. *Let $\alpha > 0,\ \alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$. Then,*

(i)[1] the delta $\alpha-$order Caputo left fractional difference of a function f defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ is defined by

$$ {}^{C}\Delta_a^{\alpha} f(t) \triangleq \Delta_a^{-(n-\alpha)} \Delta^n f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=a}^{t-(n-\alpha)} (t-\sigma(s))^{(n-\alpha-1)} \Delta_s^n f(s) \tag{72} $$

(ii) [1] the delta $\alpha-$ order Caputo right fractional difference of a function f defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$ is defined by

$$ {}_{b}^{C}\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) \triangleq {}_b\Delta^{-(n-\alpha)} \nabla_b^n f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=t+(n-\alpha)}^{b} (s-\sigma(t))^{(n-\alpha-1)} \nabla_b^n f(s) \tag{73} $$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$.

If $\alpha = n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$ {}^{C}\Delta_a^{\alpha} f(t) \triangleq \Delta^n f(t) \quad \textit{and} \quad {}_{b}^{C}\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) \triangleq \nabla_b^n f(t) $$

(iii) the nabla $\alpha-$order Caputo left fractional difference of a function f defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ is defined by

$$ {}^{C}\nabla_a^{\alpha} f(t) \triangleq \nabla_a^{-(n-\alpha)} \nabla^n f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=a+1}^{t-(n-\alpha)} (t-\rho(s))^{\overline{n-\alpha-1}} \Delta^n f(s) \tag{74} $$

(iv) the nabla $\alpha-$order Caputo left fractional difference of a function f defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$ is defined by

$$ {}_{b}^{C}\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) \triangleq {}_b\nabla^{-(n-\alpha)}\ {}_a\Delta^n f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \sum_{s=t}^{b-1} (s-\rho(t))^{\overline{n-\alpha-1}}\ {}_a\Delta^n f(s) \tag{75} $$

If $\alpha = n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$ {}^{C}\nabla_a^{\alpha} f(t) \triangleq \nabla^n f(t) \quad \texttt{and} \quad {}_{b}^{C}\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) \triangleq {}_a\Delta^n f(t) $$

It is clear that ${}^{C}\Delta_a^{\alpha}$ maps functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ to functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_{a+(n-\alpha)}$, and that ${}_{b}^{C}\Delta^{\alpha}$ maps functions defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$ to functions defined on ${}_{b-(n-\alpha)}\mathbb{N}$. Also, it is clear that the nabla left fractional difference ∇_a^{α} maps functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ to functions defined on $\mathbb{N}_{a+1-n}$ and the nabla right fractional difference ${}_b\nabla^{\alpha}$ maps functions defined on ${}_b\mathbb{N}$ to functions defined on ${}_{b-1+n}\mathbb{N}$.

Riemann and Caputo delta fractional differences are related by the following theorem

Theorem 5.1. *[1] For any $\alpha > 0$, we have*

$$ {}^{C}\Delta_a^{\alpha} f(t) = \Delta_a^{\alpha} f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(t-a)^{(k-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)} \Delta^k f(a) \tag{76} $$

and

$$ {}_{b}^{C}\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) = {}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(b-t)^{(k-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)} \nabla_b^k f(b). \tag{77} $$

In particular, when $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have

$$ {}^{C}\Delta_a f(t) = \Delta_a^{\alpha} f(t) - \frac{(t-a)^{(-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} f(a). \tag{78} $$

$$ {}_{b}^{C}\Delta f(t) = {}_b\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) - \frac{(b-t)^{(-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} f(b) \tag{79} $$

One can note that the Riemann and Caputo fractional differences, for $0 < \alpha < 1$, coincide when f vanishes at the end points.

The following identity is useful to transform delta type Caputo fractional difference equations into fractional summations.

Proposition 5.2. *[1] Assume $\alpha > 0$ and f is defined on suitable domains $\mathbb{N}_a$ and ${}_b\mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\Delta_{a+(n-\alpha)}^{-\alpha}\ {}^{C}\Delta_a^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(t-a)^{(k)}}{k!}\Delta^k f(a) \tag{80}$$

and

$${}_{b-(n-\alpha)}\Delta^{-\alpha}\ {}_b^{C}\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(b-t)^{(k)}}{k!}\nabla_b^k f(b) \tag{81}$$

In particular, if $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ then

$$\Delta_{a+(n-\alpha)}^{-\alpha}\ {}^{C}\Delta_a^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t) - f(a) \quad \textit{and} \quad {}_{b-(n-\alpha)}\Delta^{-\alpha}\ {}_b^{C}\Delta^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t) - f(b). \tag{82}$$

Similar to what we have above, for the nabla fractional differences we obtain

Theorem 5.3. *For any $\alpha > 0$, we have*

$${}^{C}\nabla_a^{\alpha} f(t) = \nabla_a^{\alpha} f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{k-\alpha}}}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)}\nabla^k f(a) \tag{83}$$

and

$${}_b^{C}\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) = {}_b\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(b-t)^{\overline{k-\alpha}}}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)}\ {}_a\Delta^k f(b). \tag{84}$$

In particular, when $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have

$${}^{C}\nabla_a f(t) = \nabla_a^{\alpha} f(t) - \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{-\alpha}}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} f(a) \tag{85}$$

and

$${}_b^{C}\nabla f(t) = {}_b\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) - \frac{(b-t)^{\overline{-\alpha}}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} f(b) \tag{86}$$

Proof. The proof follows by replacing α by $n-\alpha$ and p by n in Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.7, respectively. □

One can see that the nabla Riemann and Caputo fractional differences, for $0 < \alpha < 1$, coincide when f vanishes at the end points.

Proposition 5.4. *Assume $\alpha > 0$ and f is defined on suitable domains $\mathbb{N}_a$ and ${}_b\mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\nabla_a^{-\alpha}\ {}^{C}\nabla_a^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{k}}}{k!}\nabla^k f(a) \tag{87}$$

and

$${}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}\ {}_b^{C}\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(b-t)^{\overline{k}}}{k!}\ {}_a\Delta^k f(b). \tag{88}$$

In particular, if $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ then

$$\nabla_a^{-\alpha}\ {}^{C}\nabla_a^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t) - f(a) \quad \textit{and} \quad {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha}\ {}_b^{C}\nabla^{\alpha} f(t) = f(t) - f(b) \tag{89}$$

Proof. The proof of (87) follows by the definition and applying Proposition 3.10 and (65) of Proposition 4.4. The proof of (88) follows by the definition and applying Proposition 3.7 and (68) of Proposition 4.5. □

Using the definition and Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 3.8, we can find the nabla type Caputo fractional differences for certain power functions. For example, for $1 \neq \beta > 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ we have

$${}^{C}\nabla_a^{\alpha}(t-a)^{\overline{\beta-1}} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)}(t-a)^{\overline{\beta-\alpha-1}} \tag{90}$$

and

$${}_b^{C}\nabla^{\alpha}(b-t)^{\overline{\beta-1}} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)}(b-t)^{\overline{\beta-\alpha-1}}. \tag{91}$$

However,

$${}^{C}\nabla_a^{\alpha} 1 = {}_b^{C}\nabla^{\alpha} 1 = 0 \tag{92}$$

whereas

$$\nabla_a^{\alpha} 1 = \frac{(t-a)^{(-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}, \quad {}_b\nabla^{\alpha} 1 = \frac{(b-t)^{(-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}. \tag{93}$$

In the above formulae (90) and (91), we apply the convention that dividing over a pole leads to zero. Therefore the fractional difference when $\beta - 1 = \alpha - j, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n$ is zero.

Remark 5.1. *The results obtained in Theorem 5.1and afterward agree with those in the usual continuous case (See [11] pages 91,96).*

6 The Q-operator and fractional difference equations

If $f(s)$ is defined on $N_a \cap {}_bN$ and $a \equiv b \ (mod\ 1)$ then $(Qf)(s) = f(a+b-s)$. The Q-operator generates a dual identity by which the left type and the right type fractional sums and differences are related. Using the change of variable $u = a+b-s$, in [1] it was shown that

$$\Delta_a^{-\alpha} Qf(t) = Q\ {}_b\Delta^{-\alpha} f(t), \tag{94}$$

and hence

$${}^C\Delta_a^{\alpha} Qf(t) = Q({}_b^C\Delta^{\alpha} f)(t). \tag{95}$$

The proof of (95) follows by the definition, (94) and by noting that

$$-Q\nabla f(t) = \Delta Qf(t).$$

Similarly, in the nabla case we have

$$\nabla_a^{-\alpha} Qf(t) = Q\ {}_b\nabla^{-\alpha} f(t), \tag{96}$$

and hence

$${}^C\nabla_a^{\alpha} Qf(t) = Q({}_b^C\nabla^{\alpha} f)(t). \tag{97}$$

The proof of (97) follows by the definition, (96) and that

$$-Q\Delta f(t) = \nabla Qf(t).$$

The Q-dual identities (95) and(97) are still valid for the delta and nabla (Riemann) fractional differences, respectively. The proof is similar to the Caputo case above.

It is worthwhile to mention that the Q-dual identity (95) can not be obtained if the definition of the delta right fractional difference introduced by Nuno R.O. Bastos et al. in [14] or by Atıcı F. et al. in [6]. Thus, the definition introduced in [1] and [12] is more convenience . Analogously, the Q-dual identity (97) indicates that the nabla right Riemann and Caputo fractional differences presented in this article are also more convenient.

It is clear from the above argument that, the Q-operator agrees with its continuous counterpart when applied to left and right fractional Riemann Integrals and the Caputo and Riemann derivatives. More generally, this discrete version of the Q-operator can be used to transform the discrete delay-type fractional functional difference dynamic equations to advanced ones. For details in the continuous counterparts see [2].

Example 6.1. *[1] Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $a = \alpha - 1$ and consider the left Caputo nonhomogeneous fractional difference equation*

$${}^C\Delta_a^{\alpha} y(t) = \lambda y(t+\alpha-1) + f(t), \quad y(a) = a_0,\ t \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{98}$$

Note that the solution $y(t)$, if exists, is defined on $\mathbb{N}_a$ and hence ${}^C\Delta_a^{\alpha} y(t)$ becomes defined on $\mathbb{N}_{a+(1-\alpha)} = \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus, if we apply $\Delta_0^{-\alpha}$ on the equation (98) and then use the successive approximation the following delta type solution is obtained

$$y(t) = a_0 E_{(\alpha)}(\lambda, t) + \sum_{s=0}^{t-\alpha} E_{(\alpha,\alpha)}(\lambda, t-\sigma(s)) f(s) \tag{99}$$

where the delta type discrete Mittag-Leffler functions are defined by

Definition 6.2. *(Delta Discrete Mittag-Leffler) [1] For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha, \beta, z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $Re(\alpha) > 0$, the Delta discrete (like) Mittag-Leffler functions are defined by*

$$E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\lambda, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \frac{(z+(k-1)(\alpha-1))^{(k\alpha)} (z+k(\alpha-1))^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta)}. \tag{100}$$

For $\beta = 1$, it is written that

$$E_{(\alpha)}(\lambda, z) \triangleq E_{(\alpha,1)}(\lambda, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \frac{(z+(k-1)(\alpha-1))^{(k\alpha)}}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)}. \tag{101}$$

Next, we solve nabla Caputo type nonhomogeneous fractional difference equation to formulate nabla type discrete Mittag-Leffler functions . Those functions generalize the nabla discrete exponential functions. For details about delta and nabla type exponential functions on arbitrary time scales we refer the reader to ([15], pages 10, 76).

Definition 6.3. *(Nabla Discrete Mittag-Leffler) For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha, \beta, z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $Re(\alpha) > 0$, the nabla discrete (like) Mittag-Leffler functions are defined by*

$$E_{\overline{\alpha,\beta}}(\lambda, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \frac{z^{\overline{k\alpha+\beta-1}}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}. \tag{102}$$

For $\beta = 1$, it is written that

$$E_{\overline{\alpha}}(\lambda, z) \triangleq E_{\overline{\alpha,1}}(\lambda, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \frac{z^{\overline{k\alpha}}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)}. \tag{103}$$

Example 6.4. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the nabla left Caputo nonhomogeneous fractional difference equation*

$$\nabla_a^{\alpha} y(t) = \lambda y(t) + f(t), \quad y(a) = a_0, \ t \in \mathbb{N}_a. \tag{104}$$

If we apply $\nabla_a^{-\alpha}$ on equation (104) then by (89) we see that

$$y(t) = a_0 + \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=a+1}^{t} (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} y(s) + \nabla_a^{-\alpha} f(t).$$

To obtain an explicit solution, we apply the method of successive approximation. Set $y_0(t) = a_0$ and

$$y_m(t) = a_0 + \nabla_a^{-\alpha}[\lambda y_{m-1}(t) + f(t)], m = 1, 2, 3, ...$$

For $m = 1$, we have by the power formula (57)

$$y_1(t) = a_0[1 + \frac{\lambda(t-a)^{\overline{\alpha}}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}] + \nabla_a^{-\alpha} f(t).$$

For $m = 2$, we also see by the help of Proposition 3.10 that

$$y_2(t) = a_0 + \lambda \nabla_a^{-\alpha}[a_0 + \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{\alpha}}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}] + \nabla_a^{-\alpha} f(t) + \lambda \nabla_a^{-2\alpha} f(t) =$$

$$a_0[1 + \frac{\lambda t^{(\alpha)}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{\lambda^2 (t-a)^{\overline{2\alpha}}}{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)}] + \nabla_a^{-\alpha} f(t) + \lambda \nabla_a^{-2\alpha} f(t).$$

Proceeding inductively and making use of Proposition 3.10 and let $m \to \infty$ we obtain the solution

$$y(t) = a_0 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k (t-a)^{\overline{k\alpha}}}{\Gamma(k\alpha+1)}] + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{k-1} (\nabla_a^{-k\alpha} f)(t).$$

Then,

$$y(t) = a_0 E_{\overline{\alpha}}(\lambda, t-a) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \alpha)} \sum_{s=a+1}^{t} (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{k\alpha+\alpha-1}} f(s). \tag{105}$$

Interchanging the order of sums in (105), we conclude that

$$y(t) = a_0 E_{(\alpha)}(\lambda, t-a) + \sum_{s=a+1}^{t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \frac{(t-\rho(s))^{\overline{k\alpha+\alpha-1}}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \alpha)} f(s). \tag{106}$$

That is

$$y(t) = a_0 E_{\overline{\alpha}}(\lambda, t-a) + \sum_{s=a+1}^{t} E_{\overline{\alpha,\alpha}}(\lambda, t - \rho(s)) f(s). \tag{107}$$

Remark 6.1. *If we solve the nabla discrete fractional system (104) with $\alpha = 1$ and $a_0 = 1$ we obtain the solution*

$$y(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \frac{(t-a)^{\overline{k}}}{k!} + \sum_{s=a+1}^{t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \frac{(t-\rho(s))^{\overline{k}}}{k!} f(s).$$

The first part of the solution is the nabla discrete exponential function $\widehat{e}_{\lambda}(t, a)$. For the sake of more comparisons see ([15], chapter 3).

Example 6.5. *[1] Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $a = \alpha - 1$ and b such that $a \equiv b \ (mod\ 1)$. Let $y(t)$ be defined on $\mathbb{N}_a \cap {}_b\mathbb{N}$. Consider the following Caputo right fractional difference equation*

$$ {}_b\Delta^{\alpha} Qy(t) = \lambda y(2a + b - t), + f(a + b - t) \quad (Qy)(b) = a_0. \tag{108}$$

If we apply the Q operator on the Caputo fractinal difference equation (108), then we obtain the left Caputo fractional difference equation (98). For more about the Q-operator and its use in functional fractional differential equations we refer to [26].

Similar to Eaxample 6.5 above, we can use the Q-operator to transform, as well, nabla left type fractional difference equations to right ones and vise versa.

References

[1] T. Abdeljawad , On Riemann and Caputo fractional differences Original Research Article Computers and Mathematics with Applications, Volume 62, Issue 3, August 2011, Pages 1602-1611.

[2] T. Abdeljawad (Maraaba), Baleanu D. and Jarad F., Existence and uniqueness theorem for a class of delay differential equations with left and right Caputo fractional derivatives, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 49 (2008), 083507.

[3] F.M. Atıcı and Eloe P. W., A Transform method in discrete fractional calculus, *International Journal of Difference Equations*, vol 2, no 2, (2007), 165–176.

[4] F.M. Atıcı and Eloe P. W., Initial value problems in discrete fractional calculus, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 137, (2009), 981-989.

[5] F. M. Atıcı and Paul W.Eloe, Discrete fractional calculus with the nabla operator, Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential equations, Spec. Ed. I, 2009 No.3,1–12.

[6] F.M. Atıcı, Şengül S., Modelling with fractional difference equations,Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 369 (2010) 1-9.

[7] F. M. Atıcı, Paul W. Eloe, Gronwall's inequality on discrete fractional calculus, Copmuterand Mathematics with pplications, In Press, doi:10.1016/camwa. 2011.11.029.

[8] K. S. Miller, Ross B.,Fractional difference calculus, *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus and Their Applications*, Nihon University, Koriyama, Japan, (1989), 139-152.

[9] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press: San Diego CA, (1999).

[10] Samko G. Kilbas A. A., Marichev, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.

[11] Kilbas A., Srivastava M. H.,and Trujillo J. J., Theory and Application of Fractional Differential Equations, North Holland Mathematics Studies 204, 2006.

[12] T. Abdeljawad , D. Baleanu , Fractional Differences and integration by parts, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications vol 13 no. 3 , 574-582 (2011).

[13] Silva M. F. , J. A. T. Machado, A. M. Lopes, Modelling and simulation of artificial locomotion systems, Robotica 23 (2005), 595–606.

[14] Nuno R. O. Bastos, Rui A. C. Ferreira, Delfim F. M. Torres, Discrete-time fractional variational problems, Signal Processing, 91(3): 513-524 (2011).

[15] Bohner M. and A. Peterson, Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.

[16] G. Boros and V. Moll, Iresistible Integrals; Symbols,Analysis and Expreiments in the Evaluation of Integrals, Cambridge University PressCambridge 2004.

[17] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth and O. Patashnik, Concrete Mathematics, A Foundation for Copmuter Science, 2nd ed. , Adison-Wesley,Reading, MA, 1994.

[18] H. L. Gray and N. F.Zhang, On a new definition ofthe fractional difference, Mathematicsof Computaion 50, (182), 513-529 (1988.)

[19] J. Spanier and K. B. Oldham, The Pochhammer Polynomials $(x)_n$, An Atlas of Functions, pp. 149-156,Hemisphere, Washington, DC, 1987.

[20] G. A. Anastassiou,Principles of delta fractional calculus on time scales and inequalities, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 52 (2010)556-566.

[21] G. A. Anastassiou, Nabla discrete calcilus and nabla inequalities, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 51 (2010) 562-571.

[22] G. A. Anastassiou,Foundations of nabla fractional calculus on time scales and inequalities,Computer and Mathematics with Applications, 59 (2010) 3750-3762.

[23] O. P. Agrawal, D. Baleanu, A Hamiltonian Formulation and a direct numerical scheme for fractional optimal control problems. J. Vib. Contr. 13(9-10) (2007) 1269–1281.

[24] E. Scalas, Mixtures of compound Poisson processes as models of tick-by-tick financial data. Chaos Solit. Fract. 34(1) (2007) 33–40.

[25] D. Baleanu, J. J. Trujillo, On exact solutions of a class o f fractional Euler-Lagrange equations, Nonlin.Dyn. 52(4) 331-335 (2008).

[26] T. Maraaba(Abdeljawad), F. Jarad, D. Baleanu, On the existence and the uniqueness theorem for fractional differential equations with bounded delay within Caputo derivatives, Science in China Series A: Mathematics, 51 (10), 1775-1786 (2008).